Case 1:24-cv-00711-CM Document 24 Filed 09/09/24 Page 1 of 2

Case 1:24-cv-00711-CM

Document 23

Filed 09/04/24

Page 1 of 2

Andrew B. Clubok Direct Dial: +1.212.906.1272

andrew.clubok@lw.com

LATHAM&WATKINS

USDC SDNY September 4, 2024

VIA ECF

The Honorable Colleen McMahon United States District Court Judge Southern District of New York Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street, Room 2550 New York, New York 10007-1312

1271 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020-1401 Tel: +1.212.906.1200 Fax: +1.212.751.4864

www.iw.com

FIRM / AFFILIATE OFFICES

Milan Beijing Munich New York Boston Orange County Brussels Century City Paris Chicago Riyadh Dubai San Diego

San Francisco Düsseldorf Frankfurt Secul Hamburg Silicon Valley Singapore Hong Kong Tel Aviv Houston London Tokyo

Los Angeles Madrid

Washington, D.C

Beasley v. fuboTV, Inc., No. 1:24-cv-00711 (CM) (RWL): Re: Third Joint Request for Extension of Stay

Dear Judge McMahon:

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)(A), Local Rule 7.1(d), and Section V(H) of the Court's Individual Practices and Procedures, Plaintiff Catherine Beasley and Defendant FuboTV, Inc. (jointly, the "Parties") respectfully request an additional extension of the stay in this matter (ECF 22), which expires on September 4, 2024, through and until October 21. 2024. The Parties requested a forty-five-day stay of ligigation on April 22, 2024 (ECF 17), which the Court granted on April 23, 2024 (ECF 18). The Parties made their first request for a forty-five (45) day extension of the stay on June 3, 2024 (ECF 19), which the Court granted that same day (ECF 20). The Parties then made their second request for a forty-five day extension of the stay on July 19, 2024 (ECF 21), which the Court granted on July 23, 2024 (ECF 22).

The Parties engaged in a mediation before the Honorable Wayne R. Andersen (ret.) of JAMS on July 29, 2024, and have continued to engage in settlement discussions with the assistance of Judge Andersen. The Parties believe that judicial economy and the interests of the Parties would be served by an extension of the stay of the action because it would allow the Parties to continue to engage in settlement discussions, which, if successful, would reduce the burden on this Court to adjudicate the Parties' dispute. Prior to the expiration of the stay, the Parties will jointly file a status report to inform the Court of their progress and (if a resolution has not been reached) to propose a briefing schedule with the timing of Plaintiff's opposition to Defendant's Motion to Compel Arbitration (ECF 11) and Defendant's reply.

The Court has not yet issued a scheduling order, and if granted, this requested extension of stay through and until October 21, 2024, will not impact any other scheduled dates in this matter.

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Andrew B. Clubok
Andrew B. Clubok
of LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

cc: All Counsel of Record (via ECF)